

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/722,373		GOGER, KARL	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	NAVNEET K. AHLUWALIA		2166	

All Participants:

(1) MICHAEL COX (Regd. No. 47505).

(2) NAVNEET K. AHLUWALIA.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential 101 rejection

Claims discussed:

claim 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A call was made to applicant's representative to overcome a potential 101 rejection by an examiner's amendment to allow the case. The approval was given by the attorney Michael Cox (Regd. No. 47505) to make the necessary amendments.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 12:00pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)